Calculation of activation energy and pre-exponential factors from rising temperature data and the generation of TG and DTG curves from A and *E* **values**

David Dollimore, Trefor A. Evans, Yoke F. Lee and Fred W. Wilbum *Department of Chemistry, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606 (USA)* **(Received 2 January 1991)**

Abstract

After reviewing present methods of evaluating *E, the* **activation energy, and** *A, the* **pre-exponential constant, and pointing out some of the inherent weaknesses of the method, a technique is outlined whereby a DTG and/or TG curve may be produced for direct** comparison with experimental curves by assuming values for A and E and using finite **difference techniques to produce such a curve.**

INTRODUCTION

In isothermal studies of solid state decompositions, the rate constant *k* is usually represented by an equation of the form

$$
g(\alpha) = kt \tag{1}
$$

where $g(\alpha)$ is some function of the fraction reacted at time t at some constant temperature *T* (in degrees absolute). The function $g(\alpha)$ can take different forms depending on the reaction occurring, and such forms have been summarized by Keattch and Dollimore [l] and by Brown [2]. The value of *k* at different temperatures for the same reaction is generally assumed to be governed by the Arrhenius equation

$$
k = A \exp(-E/RT) \tag{2}
$$

where E is the activation energy associated with the process, R is the gas constant and A is the pre-exponential constant. In using rising temperature kinetic evaluations, the function $g(\alpha)$ is usually not known, and one way of designating $g(\alpha)$ is to perform calculations of k using all available functions $g(\alpha)$ and determine which gives the best Arrhenius plot. This is a tedious procedure and necessitates computer programming. Alternatively, one may run a single isothermal experiment and determine the form of $g(\alpha)$ by the method of Jones et al. [3] or Sharp et al. [4]. However, there is no guarantee that the kinetics of isothermal decomposition follow the same $g(\alpha)$ as in

rising temperature experiments. In deducing the kinetic evaluation of rising temperature data, one further difficulty is the evaluation of the integral

$$
\int_0^T e^{-E/RT} dT
$$

which arises if the integral equation (eqn. (1)) is used in the calculations. In the method described here, a computer program is outlined which allows a TG curve (or α -T curve) to be reconstructed and compared with experimental data. Furthermore, the method is based not on the integral equation (eqn. (1)) but on the differential form of the equation.

THEORY AND CALCULATION

If eqn. (1) is differentiated

$$
\frac{1}{f(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = k = A \exp\left(\frac{-E}{RT}\right)
$$
 (3)

where $f(\alpha)$ is the reciprocal of the differentiation of $g(\alpha)$ with respect to α and $d\alpha/dt$ is the differentiation of α with respect to time.

In the rising temperature experiments the time is not explicit; temperature is used as one axis, so it is necessary to know the relationship of T with t , and this is usually of the form

$$
T = T_0 + \beta t \tag{4}
$$

where β is the rate of heating (in degrees per second) and T_0 is the starting temperature; t is the time of heating. By differentiation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t} = \beta \tag{5}
$$

It is possible to write

$$
\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{d\alpha}{dT} \cdot \frac{dT}{dt} \tag{6}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}T} \cdot \beta \tag{7}
$$

Using eqn. (7) in eqn. (3)

$$
\frac{(\mathrm{d}\alpha/\mathrm{d}T)\cdot\beta}{f(\alpha)}=k\tag{8}
$$

$$
\mathbf{or}
$$

$$
\frac{d\alpha}{dT} = \frac{A \exp(-E/RT) \cdot f(\alpha)}{\beta} \tag{9}
$$

Taking logarithms gives

$$
\ln k = \ln(\mathrm{d}\alpha/\mathrm{d}T) - \ln f(\alpha) + \ln \beta \tag{10}
$$

giving the relationship between the rising temperature and *k. The* final term is a constant, $d\alpha/dT$ is the slope of the plot of α against T, and $f(\alpha)$ can be calculated at each point at which $d\alpha/dT$ is measured by using that particular value of α . The values of A and E can then be calculated by plotting In *k* against $1/T$ using the logarithmic form of eqn. (2).

FINITE DIFFERENCE METHODS

Although most of the differential equations discussed cannot be solved by standard mathematical techniques, very close approximations to such solutions can be obtained using finite difference methods. One of the present authors (F.W.W.) has used the method successfully to predict the effect of heat transfer on a typical DTA curve [5].

The method consists essentially of taking very small increments in the variable, in this case time, and calculating the fractional amount reacted in that increment of time. Initially α is given the value zero, or a very small value, should zero make the solving of the reaction equation impossible, and a suitably low temperature is chosen at which the reaction rate is negligible. The time is incremented and the value of the fractional amount reacted is calculated. Time is again incremented, adjustments being made to the temperature, where $T = T_0 + \beta t$.

The previous value of $d\alpha$ is added to α and this is used as the new value of α for the next calculation. The process is repeated until α equals or approaches unity. The process is one of iteration, and would be very time consuming if carried out manually. It is an ideal situation for a computer and programs have been developed to accommodate most of the suggested reaction equations.

There are, however, a number of points which need careful consideration when using the technique. The time step chosen must be as small as possible. Large time steps can lead to distorted and erroneous results. Unfortunately, the smaller the time step, the slower is the completion of the program. Hence values of the time step must be chosen as a compromise. A comparison of the results using two different time steps, one an order of magnitude greater than the other, will ascertain whether a given choice is suitable. If the results are widely different then a comparison between the lower value and another an order of magnitude less should be made. The process is repeated until there are no significant differences between the results, using both time steps. It is then safe to use the higher value.

Errors can arise if the chosen starting temperature is too high. This will distort the whole curve, but the error can be detected by a close examination of the initial part of the $d\alpha/dT$ vs. temperature curve (the DTG curve). If

TABLE 1

Values of α at $(d\alpha/dT)_{\text{max}}$ for different equations at different heating rates (β)

 a See ref. 6.

the initial part of the curve has a slope greater than most of the early part of the curve, this indicates that the starting temperature chosen was too high. The initial part of the curve should increase in slope gradually.

THE COMPUTER PROGRAM

The program prints out a list of temperature ($\rm{^{\circ}C}$), α (fraction reacted) and $(d\alpha/dt)/\beta$ i.e. $d\alpha/dT$ *(T being temperature)*. If $d\alpha/dt$ is required then this can easily be accomplished. The graph-drawing program prints out the maximum value of $d\alpha/dt$ or $d\alpha/dT$. The curves can be normalized by dividing the values of $d\alpha/dt$ (or $d\alpha/dT$) by $(d\alpha/dt)_{\text{max}}$ (or $(d\alpha/dT)_{\text{max}}$).

A brief description of the program is as follows. Equation (9) is rewritten in the form

$$
d\alpha = A \exp(-E/RT) \cdot f(\alpha) dt \qquad (11)
$$

The required function $f(\alpha)$ is used and the iteration process is commenced by giving E , R and T initial values. α is set at zero, unless by so doing the equation will not operate, when a small value, say 0.00001, is used. Using these values the value of $d\alpha$ is computed. This value of $d\alpha$ is added to that of α and used in the next computation in $f(\alpha)$. Temperature (absolute) is upgraded by adding β times dt to the T used in the previous calculation. Again d α is computed. This value is again added to α to make the value of α to be used in the next calculation. Again *T* is upgraded as before and a new value of $d\alpha$ is calculated. The process is repeated until the temperature reaches the final temperature. The results are stored on a disc, usually at every 2°C step, although at longer intervals when there is little reaction occurring. The data stored is α , $d\alpha/dT$ (that is, the computed value of $d\alpha$ divided by dT). The process can be repeated for any given values of A and E, for as many different functions $f(\alpha)$ as required to be tested. In drawing the graphs it may be better to normalize them for comparison purposes. This can be achieved by dividing each value of $d\alpha/dT$ by $(d\alpha/dT)_{max}$ so that the height of the y axis is unity. As this value of $(d\alpha/dT)_{\text{max}}$ is given in the print-out it is an easy matter to estimate other values on the graph. The program is constantly updated, so requests for the print-out should be made to the authors in order to obtain the latest version. An appendix gives the current status of this program.

RESULTS

Some early results using the 15 equations suggested by Keattch and Dollimore [l] and those suggested by Brown [2] have indicated (although further work is necessary to confirm this) that the value of α at which the maximum value of $(d\alpha/dT)$ occurs is dependent on the reaction equation only, being sensibly independent of heating rate, as Table 1 would suggest.

Figure 1 shows the correlation between TG plot and the DTG plot. In the program both plots are presented superimposed. The diagram is schematic

Fig. 1. Schematic plots of TG and DTG curves showing data which can be ascertained from computer curves. T_i and T_f are the initial and final temperatures respectively. HiT and LoT are the high and low temperature ends of the halfwidth respectively. T_p is the peak **temperature of a DTG curve.**

only and the footnote indicates the parameters which can be reported from the computer program.

APPENDIX: LISTINGS OF COMPUTER PROGRAMS

RISTEMP.BAS

REM Program to Re-construct Rising Temperature Curve from A and E values
REM FREDB $10₁₀$ 20 LPRINT"Programs to Re-construct Rising Temperature TG & DTG curves "
LPRINT" from Reaction Equations and A and E Values"
LPRINT " (C)by F.W.Wilburn,June 1989 " $\overline{30}$ 40
50
60
70 LPRINT" from Reaction Equation

LPRINT" (C)by F.W.Wilbur

LPRINT "Name for File Please";N\$

LPRINT "File for Results: ";N\$ 80 90 LPRINT 90 LPRINT

10 OPEN NS FOR OUTPUT AS #1

100 OPEN NS FOR OUTPUT AS #1

120 INPUT "VALUE of E please(foules/mole)?";E

120 INPUT "Starting Temperature(deg C.) please?";

130 INPUT "Starting Temperature(deg C.) please?";

15 180 LEMP = 15 + 2/3

190 CLS

200 PRINT "Puncions Available"

200 PRINT "1-P.I f(x) = x⁽(1/n)

220 PRINT "1-P.I f(x) = in X"

230 PRINT "4.A3 f(X) = [-ln(1-x)]¹0.33"

240 PRINT "4.A3 f(X) = [-ln(1-x)]^{10.33"}

250 PRI $100 -$ CLS. 370 PRINT #1, NUM 370 PRINT #1,NUM

17 PRINT THEN INPUT "Value of n Please ";N :LPRINT "N = ";N

380 IFRINT "Equation Selected - ";

400 ON NUM GOTO 410, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520,

530, 540, 550

530, 540, 550
 460 LPRINT " B1 $f(x) = \ln(x(1-x))$ ":GOTO 560
480 LPRINT " R2 $f(x) = 1-(1-x) \times 0.39$ ":GOTO 560
480 LPRINT " R3 $f(x) = 1-(1-x) \times 0.33$ ":GOTO 560
490 LPRINT " D1 $f(x) = x \times 2$ ":GOTO 560
510 LPRINT " D3 $f(x) = (1-x) \times 0.331$ ":GOTO 560
5 610 IF DX/UPT*BETA)> DXMAX AND X-8-9999-UX-40

DX/(DT*BETA): DXMAX AND X-9.05 THEN DXMAX =

DX/(DT*BETA): XMAX = X:TMAX = TS-273

620 IF X-5.1 AND X < .9999 THEN PRAN = 2 ELSE SPAN = 10

630 IF TS-TEMP THEN PRINT'X= "X:TA **CHAIN "CURVE"** 760 END

- $790 \overline{PI} = \overline{X}$ 800 RETURN 810 P1 = $2*(1-X)*(-LOG(1-X))$.5 820 RETURN 830 P1 = 3*(1-X)*(-LOG(1-X))^(2/3)
840 RETURN 850 PI = 4*(1-X)*(-LOG(1-X))^.75
860 RETURN 870 $P1 = X*(1-X)$ 880 RETURN
890 P1 = 2*(1-X)^.5 900. RETURN 910 P1 = $3*(1-X)^{6}(2/3)$
920 RETURN 930. $P1 = 1/(2*X)$
RETURN 940 RETURN
950 P1 = 1/(-LOG(1-X))
960 RETURN 970 A1=(1-X)^(-2/3):B1=(1-X)^(1/3):C1=1-B1 980 P1=1.5/(A1*C1)
990 RETURN
1000 P1 =1.5/((1-X)^(-1/3)-1)
- 1010 RETURN
1020 P1 =1-X
1020 RETURN RETURN

770 P1 = N*(X)^((N-1)/N)
780 RETURN

- 1040 $PI = (1-X)^{1/2}$
RETURN
- 1050
- 1060 $P1 = 5*(1-X)3$
- 1070 RETURN 1080
- 1000
- i ioo
- KETUKN
LPRINT CHR\$(27);"K";CHR\$(224);CHR\$(1);
FOR J=1 TO 40:FOR I= 1 TO 12
READ R:LPRINT CHR\$(R); $\overline{1110}$ 1120
- 1130
- NEXT
- $\frac{1140}{1150}$
- **LPRINT**
RESTORE 1160
- 1170 **NEXT**
- 1180 DATA 4,10,26,58,103,231,231,103,58,26,10,4
1190 RETURN

CURVE.BAS

- LURY E.BASIN Program to Draw Curves From Data in a File(Curvex1)

20 INPUT "Filename Please? = ":NS

30 OPEN NS FOR INPUT AS #1

40 INPUT #1, DS, ES, FS, G\$, H\$, JS

40 INPUT #1, DS, ES, FS, G\$, H\$, JS

40 INPUT #1, DS, E 160 CLOSE #3 160 CLOSE #3

170 D = 10:F = 10:G = 10:DT = 1

180 CLS

200 CLSEN 1

200 LINE (10,11-(10,160)

210 LINE (10,11-(10,160)

220 LOCATE 10,12:PRINT "X"

230 LOCATE 10,12:PRINT "X"

240 LOCATE 10,12:PRINT "X"

240 DOCATE 20,12 230 R=(TF-TA)/100:P=36(R:S=1:N=1:TS1=TA
260 LOCATE 22,1:PRINT TA
270 TS1=TS1+100:IF TS1>TF THEN GOTO 310 270 TS1=TS1+100:IF TS1>TF THEN GOTO 310

280 S=P+N

280 TS1=TS1+100:IF TS1>TF THEN GOTO 310

290 LOCATE 22.5:PRINT TS1

300 N=N+1:GOTO 270

310 IF EOF (1) THEN CLOSE:GOTO 400

320 IF EOF (1) THEN CLOSE:GOTO 400

320 IF EOF
- 410 END

RETREV.BAS

- 10
- $\frac{20}{25}$
- 30
-
- $\frac{40}{50}$
- 60
- $\frac{70}{80}$
-
- 90
- 100
- REM Data Retrieval RETREV

INPUT "Filename Please ";NS

LPRINT Tellename ";NS

OPEN NS FOR INPUT AS #1

INPUT #1,DS,ES,FS,GS,HS,JS

INPUT #1,DS,ES,FS,GS,HS,JS

ENAL(ES):LPRINT "VALUE of $R =$ ";D;"secs-1"

E-VAL(ES):LPRI 110 10 OF VOLTET TRI $f(x) = X^{\alpha}(1/n), \gamma_{\alpha}(1/n), \gamma$ 250, 260
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- 260 LPRINT "F2 f(x) =[1/(1-x))¹2 ":GOTO 270
270 LPRINT " Temp":TAB(24):"X":TAB(45):"DX"
280 IPRINT " Temp":TAB(24):"X":TAB(45):"DX"
300 INPUT #1.A\$,B\$.C\$
320 IS=VAL(B\$)
320 E=VAL(B\$)
330 CENARY.
-
-
-
-
- 340 LPRINT A, TAB(20); B; TAB(40); C
350 GOTO 290
-

WIDTH.BAS

- REM Program to Find Half Width of DTG Curve(WIDTH)
INPUT "Filename Please? = ":N\$
OPEN N\$ FOR INPUT AS #1 10
- $\frac{20}{30}$
-
-
-
-
-
- 20 OFEN NS FOR INPUT AS #1

NO DEN NS FOR INPUT AS #1

40 OPEN NS FOR INPUT AS #1

40 DEV AL(DS):LPRINT "Value of $A =$ ";D;" Recip. Secs "

60 E=VAL(ES):LPRINT "Value of E = ";E;" Joules/mole"

70 TA=VAL(ES):LPRINT "Value
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- 210
- 220
- 230
- N=0
IF EOF (1) THEN CLOSE: GOTO 290
INPUT #1,A\$,B\$,C\$
INPUT #1,A\$,B\$,C\$
IF DX >K2 AND TS <L THEN N=1:W1=TS:LPRINT "LoTS=";W1:GOTO 210
IF DX >K2 AND TS <L THEN \COTO 280.
IF DX >K2 AND TS <L THEN \COTO 280. 240
250
- 260 IF DX <R/2 AND TS > L THEN GOTO 280
270 GOTO 210
280 W2=TS:LPRINT "HITS= ";W2:CLOSE
-
-
- LPRINT "Half Width = "; W2-W1;" with Peak at ";L
END 290
300
-

REFERENCES

- 1 C.J. Keattch and D. DoIlimore, An Introduction to Thermogravimetry, Heyden, London, 2nd edn., 1975.
- 2 M.E. Brown, Introduction to Thermal Analysis, Chapman and Hall, London, 1988.
- 3 L.F. Jones, D. DolIimore and T. NickIin, Thermochim. Acta, 13 (1975) 240.
- 4 J.H. Sharp, G.W. Brindley and B.N.N. Achar, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 49 (1966) 379.
- 5 F.W. WiIbum, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Salford, 1972.
- 6 J.M.,Criado, J. MaIek and A. Olega, Thermochim. Acta, 147 (1988) 377.